

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**Civil Action Number 4:24-CV-00051-M-RN**

<b>CYNTHIA B. AVENS</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>DEFENDANT PITT COUNTY</b>
	)	<b>MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, INC.’S</b>
<b>FARIS C. DIXON, JR., DISTRICT</b>	)	<b>MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF’S</b>
<b>ATTORNEY, VIDANT/ECU HEALTH</b>	)	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
<b>MEDICAL CENTER, DR. KAREN</b>	)	
<b>KELLY, MEDICAL EXAMINER,</b>	)	
<b>JOHN/JANE DOE, JOHN/JANE DOE, and</b>	)	
<b>JOHN/JANE DOE,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	
	)	
	)	

---

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), Defendant Pitt County Memorial Hospital, Inc. (“ECU Health”)<sup>1</sup> respectfully moves the Court to dismiss the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Cynthia B. Avens (“Avens”).

This case arises out of the unfortunate death of Avens’ daughter, Keisha White (“White”), more than a decade ago. At the time of her death, White was a patient of ECU Health and was being treated for various medical conditions, including chronic renal failure. She experienced a medical emergency at Vidant Medical Center on May 10, 2014, and she died of an anoxic brain injury and cardiac arrest that same day. Despite entering into a settlement with ECU Health in 2016 whereby she released all claims related to the medical services that White received, Avens still seeks to hold ECU Health liable for White’s death. Avens filed her Complaint with this Court

---

<sup>1</sup> While Avens purports to assert claims against ECU Health Medical Center, which formerly did business as Vidant Medical Center, ECU Health Medical Center’s legal name is Pitt County Memorial Hospital, Inc., which is referred to herein as ECU Health for ease of reference.

on March 22, 2024. While Avens' Complaint does not identify specific causes of action, affording her *pro se* pleading the most liberal construction possible, Avens purports to assert claims against ECU Health under (1) 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983"); (2) 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("Section 1981"); (3) 42 U.S.C. § 1985 ("Section 1985"); (4) 42 U.S.C. § 1988; (5) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*; and (6) 18 U.S.C. § 241.

Avens' Complaint, however, does not plausibly explain how ECU Health is liable for White's death or as a result of any of the events that followed, and her claims against ECU Health should be dismissed. While Avens' general allegations of wrongdoing by ECU Health and others are convoluted, the reasons the Complaint fails to state a claim are simple. Indeed, Avens has failed to state a claim for relief for the following reasons, which are set forth in detail in ECU Health's contemporaneously-filed Memorandum of Law: (1) all of her claims are time-barred by the applicable statutes of limitations; (2) any Section 1983 claim fails because ECU Health is not a state actor and because Avens fails to allege that any policy or custom of ECU Health caused a deprivation of her rights; (3) any Section 1981 claim fails because Avens does not allege that ECU Health interfered with a cognizable contractual relationship, because ECU Health is not a state actor, and because Avens does not allege that ECU Health intentionally discriminated against her on the basis of race; (4) any Section 1985 claim fails because Avens does not allege specific facts necessary to establish a conspiracy or that any actions taken were motivated by a specific class-based, invidiously discriminatory animus; (5) any Title VI claim fails because Avens does not allege that ECU Health engaged in intentional racial discrimination; and (6) 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and 18 U.S.C. § 241 do not provide for a private right of action.

In sum, even when construed in the light most favorable to Avens and giving her the benefit of every conceivable doubt in light of her *pro se* status, the Complaint is untimely, is entirely

speculative, and does not allow the Court to infer that Avens is entitled to relief against ECU Health under any theory of liability. As a result, Avens' claims against ECU Health should be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel D. McClurg  
Daniel D. McClurg (NC Bar #53768)  
daniel.mcclurg@klgates.com  
K&L Gates LLP  
300 South Tryon Street, Suite 1000  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202  
(704) 331-7400  
(704) 353-3114

Terrence M. McKelvey (NC Bar #47940)  
terrence.mckelvey@klgates.com  
K&L Gates LLP  
501 Commerce Street, Suite 1500  
Nashville, Tennessee 37203  
(615) 780-6700  
(615) 780-6799

*Counsel for Defendant Pitt County  
Memorial Hospital, Inc.*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon all counsel of record via the Clerk of Court's ECF system and upon Plaintiff Cynthia B. Avens via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, at the address listed below, this May 29, 2024:

Cynthia B. Avens  
303 Riverside Trail  
Roanoke Rapids, North Carolina 27870

Chris D. Agosto Carreiro  
Special Deputy Attorney General  
North Carolina Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

*Counsel for Defendant Faris C. Dixon, Jr.*

Jeremy D. Linsley  
North Carolina Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

*Counsel for Defendant Karen Kelly, MD*

/s/ Daniel D. McClurg